

A consequence of a lower bound of the K-energy

Nefton Pali

Abstract

We prove that over a Fano manifold with K-energy of the canonical class $2\pi c_1$ bounded from below, the Chen-Tian energy functional E_1 of the canonical class is also bounded from below.

1 Introduction

One of the central philosophical points of modern Differential Geometry is in the idea that the existence of special metrics should imply strong geometric conditions on the manifold. An important example of special metrics are the extremal metrics of a Kähler manifold, introduced by Calabi [Cal]. By definition extremal Kähler metrics of a positive $(1,1)$ -cohomology class are Kähler metrics with minimal L^2 -norm of the scalar curvature with respect to all Kähler metrics in the same $(1,1)$ -cohomology class. Calabi proved (see [Cal]) that extremal metrics are exactly the Kähler metrics with holomorphic gradient of the scalar curvature. To any positive $(1,1)$ -cohomology class Calabi and Futaki [Fut] associated an invariant such that its vanishing implies that the extremal metrics of the given class are exactly the metrics with constant scalar curvature. Moreover the vanishing of the Calabi-Futaki invariant is a necessary condition for the existence of metrics with constant scalar curvature. In the case of Fano manifolds, a positive multiple of the first Chern class admits a metric with constant scalar curvature if and only if the Kähler metric is Einstein. The problem of existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics over Fano manifolds has been the subject of intense study over the last two decades. Inspired from the work of Donaldson, Mabuchi introduced the K-energy functional [Mab]. In a joint work with Bando, [Ba-Ma] they proved that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric implies a lower bound of the K-energy and the uniqueness of the Kähler-Einstein metric modulo the action of automorphisms of the manifold. Recently it has been shown by Chen and Tian [Ch-Ti3] that the existence of an extremal metric in a given class implies the lower boundedness of the K-energy and the uniqueness of the extremal metric modulo the action of automorphisms. In the special case of a multiple of the first Chern class we have a very powerful tool which is the Kähler-Ricci flow. Using a similar computation as in [Yau] it can be proved (see [Cao]) that the Kähler-Ricci flow admit always a solution for all times. In [Cao] Cao proved that the solution converges to a Kähler-Einstein metric if the first Chern class is non positive. In this way he re-proved the celebrated Calabi-Yau theorem [Yau]. In the case of Fano manifolds the Kähler-Ricci flow does not always converge, in fact there are Fano manifolds which do not admit Kähler-Einstein metrics [Fut], [Tia]. In [Ch-Ti1] Chen and Tian give a proof which shows that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric over a Fano manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature implies the convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow. Their hypothesis on the nonnegativity of the bisectional curvature is just a temporary technical assumption. What they really need is that the positivity of the Ricci tensor is preserved under the Kähler-Ricci flow. In order to prove the convergence Chen and Tian introduced in [Ch-Ti2] a family of energy functionals E_k , $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. Until now, only E_0 and E_1 plays a key role in the

convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow. The functional E_0 is just the K-energy. One of the crucial points of their proof is that, over Kähler-Einstein manifolds admitting a metric ω_0 such that the nonnegativity of the Ricci tensor is preserved under the Kähler-Ricci flow with initial metric ω_0 , the energy functional E_1 is lower bounded from below (so bounded) under the flow. In fact the energy functional E_1 is always decreasing along the flows which preserve a certain uniform lower bound of the Ricci tensors of the evolved metrics. Very recently Song and Weinkove [So-We] have answered a question of Chen [Che], showing that over Kähler-Einstein manifolds the energy functional E_1 is bounded on the full space of potentials. We have the following general result.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a Fano manifold such that the K-energy functional of the canonical class $2\pi c_1(X)$ is bounded from below. Then the Chen-Tian energy functional E_1 of the canonical class $2\pi c_1(X)$ is also bounded from below.*

This is an immediate consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let (X, ω) be a polarised Fano manifold with $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ and let ν_ω and $E_{1,\omega}$ be respectively the K-energy and the Chen-Tian energy functionals with reference metric ω . Then there exist a constant C_ω depending only on ω such that for any Kähler-Ricci flow $(\omega_t)_{t \in [0, +\infty)} \subset 2\pi c_1(X)$ we have the inequality $E_{1,\omega}(\omega_t) \geq 2\nu_\omega(\omega_t) + C_\omega$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.*

We consider it necessary to write this note because our proof of theorem 2 is drastically simple. Moreover there are indications that our result will be useful for a new existence criteria of Kähler-Einstein metrics.

Acknowledgments. The result presented in this note has been reported during the visit of the author in Princeton University. The author is very grateful to Professors Jean-Pierre Demailly, Joseph Kohn and Gang Tian who made possible his long visit in this institution. The author is especially grateful to Professor Gang Tian for bringing to his attention problems related with the convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow. The author is also very grateful to the referees for their nice suggestions which have contributed to improve the original version of this note.

2 Energy functionals

Let X be a Fano manifold of complex dimension n and let $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1} := \{\omega > 0, \omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)\}$, be the space of Kähler metrics in the class $2\pi c_1(X)$. We consider also the corresponding space of potentials $\mathcal{P}_\omega := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > -\omega\}$ and we define $\omega_\varphi := \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_\omega$. We will use also the notation $f_X := (\int_X \omega^n)^{-1} \int_X$ for the average operator. We remind that the scalar curvature $\text{Sc}(\omega) \in \mathcal{E}(X, \mathbb{R})$ of ω is defined by the formula

$$\text{Sc}(\omega) := \text{Trace}_\omega(\text{Ric}(\omega)) = \frac{2n \text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-1}}{\omega^n},$$

where $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ is the Ricci form. This definition coincides with the usual definition of scalar curvature of the Riemannian metric $g = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$. We define the

Laplacian of a function f by the formula

$$\Delta_\omega f := \text{Trace}_\omega(i\partial\bar{\partial}f) = \frac{2n i\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J f \wedge \omega^{n-1}}{\omega^n}.$$

Our Laplacian differs by a minus sign from the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to the Riemannian metric $g = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$. We remind also that an energy functional $\mathbb{E}$ is a continuous map $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1} \times \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\omega_1, \omega_3) &= \mathbb{E}(\omega_1, \omega_2) + \mathbb{E}(\omega_2, \omega_3) \\ \mathbb{E}(\omega_1, \omega_1) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for every $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$.

The generalized energy functional.

The generalized energy functional $J_\omega : \mathcal{P}_\omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}J_\omega(\varphi) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k+1}{n+1} \int_X i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^k \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-k-1} \\ &= \int_X \varphi \omega^n - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X \varphi \omega^k \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-k}.\end{aligned}$$

If $(\varphi_t)_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} \subset \mathcal{P}_\omega$ is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ path then we have the important formula

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} = (n+1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n, \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{\varphi}_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_t$ and $\omega_t := \omega_{\varphi_t}$. In fact consider the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + (n-k) \varphi_t i\partial\bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + (n-k) \dot{\varphi}_t (\omega_t - \omega) \wedge \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k+1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} - \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega^{k+1} \wedge \omega_t^{n-k-1} \\ &= (n+1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n.\end{aligned}$$

If we set $J(\omega, \omega_\varphi) := J_\omega(\varphi)$ for any $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$ then the formula (1) implies that J is an energy functional.

The K-energy functional of the canonical class $2\pi c_1$.

Consider the Kähler-Ricci flow $(\omega_t)_t$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\omega_t = \omega_t - \text{Ric}(\omega_t) \quad (2)$$

with initial metric $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$. It was proved in [Cao] that the Kähler-Ricci flow $(\omega_t)_t$ exists for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$ and $(\omega_t)_t \subset \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$. This is because to solve the equation (2) is sufficient to solve the equation in terms of potentials

$$\dot{\varphi}_t = \log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} + \varphi_t - h_\omega, \quad (3)$$

where $\varphi_t \in \mathcal{P}_\omega$, $\omega_t = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t$ and $h_\omega \in \mathcal{E}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the the real Smooth function defined by the conditions

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}h_\omega, \quad \int_X (e^{h_\omega} - 1)\omega^n = 0.$$

We remark that to find $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_\omega$ solution of Einstein the equation $\text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi) = \omega_\varphi$, is equivalent to solve the equation

$$0 = \log \frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\omega^n} + \varphi - h_\omega,$$

which is also equivalent to the constant scalar curvature equation $\text{Sc}(\omega_\varphi) = 2n$. This last equation is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the K-energy functional $\nu_\omega : \mathcal{P}_\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\nu_\omega(\varphi) := \int_X \left(\log \frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\omega^n} + \varphi - h_\omega \right) \omega_\varphi^n - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X \varphi \omega^k \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-k} + \int_X h_\omega \omega^n.$$

In fact for every $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ path $(\varphi_t)_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} \subset \mathcal{P}_\omega$ we have the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\nu_\omega(\varphi_t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \left(\text{Sc}(\omega_t) - 2n \right) \omega_t^n. \quad (4)$$

We prove now this identity. Set $\Delta_t := \Delta_{\omega_t}$ and consider the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_X \left(\log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} - h_\omega \right) \omega_t^n = \\ &= \int_X \left(\frac{d}{dt} \log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} \right) \omega_t^n + n \int_X \left(\log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} - h_\omega \right) i\partial\bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\ &= 2^{-1} \int_X \Delta_t \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n + n \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t i\partial\bar{\partial} \left(\log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} - h_\omega \right) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\ &= n \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t i\partial\bar{\partial} \left(\log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} - h_\omega \right) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\ &= - \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t 2^{-1} \text{Sc}(\omega_t) \omega_t^n + n \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_X \varphi_t \omega_t^n &= \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n + n \int_X \varphi_t i \partial \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\
&= \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n + \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t (\omega_t - \omega) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\
&= (n+1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n - n \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1}. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this two equalities with the identity (1) we obtain the identity (4). If we set $\nu(\omega, \omega_\varphi) := \nu_\omega(\varphi)$ for every $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$ then the formula (4) implies that ν is an energy functional. We remark that under the Kähler-Ricci flow we have the identity

$$\text{Sc}(\omega_t) = 2n - \Delta_{\omega_t} \dot{\varphi}_t.$$

Then using the identity (4) we deduce the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \nu_\omega(\varphi_t) = 2^{-1} \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \Delta_{\omega_t} \dot{\varphi}_t \omega_t^n = -n \int_X i \partial \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \leq 0,$$

which shows that the K-energy decreases under the Kähler-Ricci flow. We remind now that the Futaki invariant $f_{2\pi c_1} : H^0(X, T_{X,J}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the Kähler class $2\pi c_1(X)$ is defined by the formula

$$f_{2\pi c_1}(\xi) := \int_X \xi \cdot h_\omega \omega^n$$

and the definition is independent of the choice of $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$. We set by $\text{Aut}_J^0(X)$ the identity component of the group of J -holomorphic automorphisms of X . We have the obvious action $\text{Aut}_J^0(X) \times \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$ given by the pull back. We remind the following well known fact

Lemma 1 *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold with $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}$.*

- 1) *If the K-energy ν_ω is bounded from below then the Futaki invariant $f_{2\pi c_1}$ is zero.*
- 2) *If the Futaki invariant $f_{2\pi c_1}$ is zero then the K-energy ν_ω is $\text{Aut}_J^0(X)$ -invariant.*

It will be usefull to write the K-energy functional under the following synthetic form

$$\nu_\omega(\varphi) := \int_X \left(\log \frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\omega^n} - h_\omega \right) \omega_\varphi^n + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi \omega^k \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-k} + C_{0,\omega},$$

where $a_0 = n$, $a_k = -1$ for $k \geq 1$ and $C_{0,\omega} := \int_X h_\omega \omega^n$.

The Chen-Tian energy functional E_1 of the canonical class $2\pi c_1$.

We define the energy functional $E_{1,\omega} : \mathcal{P}_\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the canonical class $2\pi c_1$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,\omega}(\varphi) &:= \int_X \left(\log \frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\omega^n} - h_\omega \right) \left(\text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi) + \omega \right) \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi \omega^k \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-k} + C_{1,\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0 = b_1 = n-1$, $b_k = -2$ for $k \geq 2$ and

$$C_{1,\omega} := \int_X h_\omega (\text{Ric}(\omega) + \omega) \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

This definition coincides with the Chen-Tian definition of the energy functional $E_{1,\omega}$ of the canonical class $2\pi c_1$, (see [Ch-Ti2]). In fact all that we need to show is that for every $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ path $(\varphi_t)_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} \subset \mathcal{P}_\omega$ we have the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} = (n-1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t (\omega_t^2 - \omega^2) \wedge \omega_t^{n-2}. \quad (6)$$

Let prove this identity. The formulas (1) and (5) implies the equality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_X \varphi_t \omega_t^n - \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} \right] = -n \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1}. \quad (7)$$

Moreover we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_X \varphi_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} &= \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + (n-1) \int_X \varphi_t i\partial\bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-2} \\ &= \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + (n-1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t (\omega_t - \omega) \wedge \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-2} \\ &= n \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} - (n-1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega^2 \wedge \omega_t^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this last equality with the equality (7), we find the identity

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=2}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} = -(n-1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \omega^2 \wedge \omega_t^{n-2}.$$

Then using the identity (1) we find that the derivative of the quantity

$$\frac{n-1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} - \sum_{k=2}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{n-1}{n+1} \left[\int_X \varphi_t \omega_t^n + \int_X \varphi_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \right] - \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^n \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} = \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k},
\end{aligned}$$

give us the required formula (6). We remind also that [Ch-Ti2] for every $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ path $(\varphi_t)_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} \subset \mathcal{P}_\omega$ we have the important identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} E_{1,\omega}(\varphi_t) &= \int_X \Delta_t \dot{\varphi}_t \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\
&- (n-1) \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \left(\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t)^2 - \omega_t^2 \right) \wedge \omega_t^{n-2}, \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

which shows in particular that $E_1(\omega, \omega_\varphi) := E_{1,\omega}(\varphi)$ is an energy functional. We are now in position to prove the theorem 2.

3 Proof of the theorem 2

Proof. Under the Kähler-Ricci flow $\dot{\varphi}_t = \log \frac{\omega_t^n}{\omega^n} + \varphi_t - h_\omega$, we have the following expression for the K-energy functional

$$\nu_\omega(\varphi_t) := \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \omega_t^n + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + C_{0,\omega}.$$

The identity $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t) = \omega_t - i\partial\bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi_t - \dot{\varphi}_t)$ implies the following expressions for the energy functional E_1 under the Kähler-Ricci flow

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{1,\omega}(\varphi_t) &= - \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + 2 \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + C_{1,\omega} \\
&= \int_X i\partial(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + 2 \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \omega_t^n \\
&- 2 \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + C_{1,\omega} \\
&= \int_X i\partial(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + 2 \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \omega_t^n \\
&- 2 \int_X i\partial\varphi_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + 2 \int_X i\partial\varphi_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + C_{1,\omega}.$$

Expanding the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X i\partial(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} &= \int_X i\partial\varphi_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\ &\quad - 2 \int_X i\partial\varphi_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + \int_X i\partial\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,\omega}(\varphi_t) &= 2 \int_X (\dot{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t) \omega_t^n + \int_X i\partial\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \\ &\quad - \int_X i\partial\varphi_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{b_k}{n+1} \int_X \varphi_t \omega^k \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} + C_{1,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the trivial identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n-1}{n+1} \left[\int_X \varphi_t \omega_t^n + \int_X \varphi_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \right] - \int_X i\partial\varphi_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} &= \\ = \frac{1}{n+1} \left[2n \int_X \varphi_t \omega_t^n - 2 \int_X \varphi_t \omega \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

implies the remarkable inequality

$$E_{1,\omega}(\varphi_t) = 2\nu_\omega(\varphi_t) + \int_X i\partial\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t^{n-1} + C_\omega \geq 2\nu_\omega(\varphi_t) + C_\omega,$$

where C_ω is a constant depending only on ω . □

References

- [Ba-Ma] BANDO, S., MABUCHI, T. Uniqueness of Einstein Kähler metrics modulo connected group actions, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. 10, 1987, 11-40.
- [Cal] CALABI, E. Extremal Kähler metrics. In Seminar on Differential Geometry, Ann. of Math. Studies, University Press, vol 16 of 102, 1982, 259-290.
- [Cao] CAO, H.D. Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds. Invent. Math., 81, 1985, 359-372.
- [Che] CHEN, X.X. On the lower bound of the energy functional E_1 , (I)- a stability theorem on the Kähler-Ricci flow, preprint, arXiv/math.DG/050219.

- [Ch-Ti1] CHEN, X.X., TIAN, G. Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein manifolds, 2000, to appear in Duke mathematical journal.
- [Ch-Ti2] CHEN, X.X., TIAN, G. Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein surfaces, Invent. Math. 147, 2002, 487-544.
- [Ch-Ti3] CHEN, X.X., TIAN, G. Geometry of Kähler metrics and holomorphic foliations by disks, preprint, arXiv/math.DG/.0409433.
- [Fut] FUTAKI, A. An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics, Invent. Math. 73, 1983, 437-443.
- [Mab] MABUCHI, T. K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants, Tohoku Math. Journ. 38, 1986, 575-593.
- [Tia] TIAN, G. Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, Invent. Math. 137, 1997, 1-37.
- [So-We] SONG, J., WEINKOVE, B. Energy functionals and canonical Kähler metrics, preprint, arXiv/math.DG/0505476.
- [Yau] YAU, S.-T. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I, Comm.Pure Appl. Math. 31, 1978, 339-411.

Nefton Pali

E-mail address: *npali@Math.Princeton.EDU*